	Case 2:11-cv-00711-JCM-VCF Document	11 Filed 06/09/11 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
6	THE HANOVER INSURANCE COMPANY,	2:11-CV-711 JCM (LRL)	
7			
8	Plaintiff,		
9	V.		
10	TLC INVESTING, LLC, et al.,		
11	Defendants.		
12			
13	ORDER		
14	Presently before the court is plaintiff Hanover Insurance Company's motion for preliminary		
15	injunction. (Doc. #7). To date, defendants have not responded.		
16	"A plaintiff seeking a preliminary injunction must establish that he is likely to succeed on		
17	the merits, that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, that the		
18	balance of equities tips in his favor, and that an injunction is in the public interest." Winter v. Nat.		
19	Resources Def. Council, Inc., 129 S. Ct. 365, 374 (2008).		
20	On May 16, 2011, this court denied (doc. #8) plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining		
21	order (doc. #2), finding that plaintiff had failed to show a likelihood of irreparable harm. (Doc. #8		
22	at 2:8–9):		
23	Although Hanover alleges that "[t]his is not simply an issue of monetary loss; rather		
24	it is an issue of impairing Hanover's expectation and requiring it to suffer any loss, even if only temporary, associated with the performance of the Indemnitor		
25	Defendants' duties[,]" that alone is insufficient to pass muster under the <i>Winter</i> test. See Elias v. Connett, 908 F.2d 521, 526–27 (9th Cir. 1990) (declining to issue a		
26	temporary restraining order where the plaintiff fails to show "more than mere monetary harm"). Plaintiff has alleged nothing more than monetary loss. Therefore,		
27	the court also finds that the plaintiff has failed to meet the third prong of the <i>Winter</i> test. Hanover in fact has an adequate remedy at law, to sue under the indemnity agreement and recover the disputed funds.		
28	agreement and recover the disputed fund	uo.	
James C. Mahan			

U.S. District Judge

	Case 2:11-cv-00711-JCM-VCF Document 11 Filed 06/09/11 Page 2 of 2
1	The court finds these considerations equally applicable to the motion for a preliminary inunction.
2	The plaintiff has again failed to demonstrate a likelihood of irreparable harm.
3	Accordingly,
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff's motion for
5	preliminary injunction (doc. #7) is DENIED without prejudice.
6	DATED June 9, 2011.
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	ONTED STATES DISTRICT SUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2122	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge